



SCNA Special Board meeting

Creekview Park

SCNA September 26th, 2006

Attendees: Lynn Hauger (C), J.L. Strand (VC), Gail Anderson (T), Brock Hanson (S), Marie Castle(e), Brian Hitchcock, Virginia Bratton, Cheryl Anderson, Amy Luesebrink (staff). Guest: NRP Specialist: Peg Mountin

1. Meeting called to order by Chair Hauger at 6:36pm

2. Agenda: Motion to approve the agenda removing 4a/b. by Ms. G. Anderson, Ms. Bratton, m-c

3. Livability Letter to Council President Johnson

- a. Draft letter presented for board consideration regarding property on 51st and Irving
- b. Board notes to change from Council Member to Council President Johnson
- c. Board suggests listing titles: Assistant Attorney L. Murphy, CCP/Safe title. And Mr. Shannon McDonough who was instrumental
- d. Some staff reports included regarding reporting for neighborhood concerns
- e. Discussion regarding whether the house is section 8 housing
- f. Significant attendance at a recent meeting held by CCP/Safe regarding this property
- g. The SCNA letter should be copied to Lt. Sauro, Rental Dwelling License Board of Appeals
- h. This process helpful in setting up policy for how SCNA will take action in the future regarding problem properties
- i. Motion to move approval of the letter as amended to submit by Mr. Hanson, 2nd by Mr. Strand, m-c
- j. Suggested that community impact statement article be posted in SCNA newsletter as a resource

4. Housing: Chair Hauger, staff, Ms. Mountin

- a. SCNA Funds and Fund Leverage
- b. Staff reports behind further conversation with Earl Pettiford, Peg Mountin regarding the proposed land swap regarding the housing and park improvements in Shingle Creek neighborhood and the posed article for the newsletter.
- c. Ms. Mountin was approached by Mr. Pettiford regarding the housing/park improvement in the Shingle Creek/LBNA neighborhoods.
- d. Ms. Mountin reports that usually CPED Bill Konzak is usually Northside Land acquisition person
- e. She asked Mr. Pettiford for an actual proposal? He reported that there wasn't one
- f. Ms. Mountin reports SCNA and City should make good plans using good policy, leaving two houses as an island in this proposal is not good policy
- g. Good opportunity to bring SCNA and LBNA together
- h. Question posed by SCNA Board member whether there are vacant lots in SCNA or LBNA to move the homes to?
- i. SCNA Phase II Housing funds: Several considerations: way the NRP cashflow Works. SCNA can use funds for 249 list. But if SCNA funds used to demolish then 52.5% commitment would have to be found from other Phase II strategy funds.
- j. Pass affordability on? Community Land Trusts option offers some loss of equity
- k. LBNA is at a good time/place for a possible housing committee from each neighborhood, partnering with Hennepin County, Bill Konsack, Multifamily housing, Cedar Isles Dean not involved in the land swap discussion either.
- l. Discussion was had at the meeting regarding Mr. Pettiford's unwillingness to notify LBNA and the neighborhood of this proposal due not needing funding from the neighborhood.

- m. Some of the park planning that led up to this like putting the Moliter field occurred without notifying or consulting the neighborhood or the park planning for the bridge and improvements
- n. Ms. Mountin reminds the board that the City can't plop new housing in front of shabby housing at the LBNA site and that additional funds for current housing improvements would need to be budgeted. Tif district on this proposal also questioned.
- o. Ms. Mountin suggests on any project SCNA will want to contribute to housing not acquisition. She reminds SCNA that NRP requirement of not exceeding 70% of Phase II contracting in the 1st three years and spending housing dollars out of Phase II for housing
- p. There is much that isn't known to date but the whole proposal seems predicated on many pieces in other neighborhoods. This is a real opportunity to create a win-win-win.
- q. Mr. Hanson shows map of the neighborhood and shows current vacant lands
- r. SCNA consensus is to continue the motion to commit \$45,000 and readdress more exact details when more defined plans come forward
- s. SCNA discussion asks if we are a little bit ahead of everything, and caution arises also to ensure we don't feel we've been taken
- t. Ms. Anderson asks if CPED funds have to be spent by end of year, do the NRP contracts move forward? Ms. Mountin affirms they move forward.
- u. Mr. Strand refers to an email on page 97 of the Phase II plan and cautions the board that some are fearful of what might happen if SCNA "dilly dallies", especially when this project has potential support of Council Member
- v. Mr. Hauger states that while the motion was well intended, he states concern about what Ms. Mountin said about leaving the two remaining homes
- w. The two fourplexes have contacted Mr. Pettiford at this point.
- x. SCNA would hate to lose the fourplexes would push improvements on Knox
- y. The two single family homes at 1708 and 1704 haven't been approached by CPED to-date
- z. Mr. Johnson would like to speak with the home owners to get their perspective
- aa. We need to be in compliance with NRP strategies
- bb. SCNA can say we're committed partners but that we need to see a proposal on paper.
- cc. SCNA can take a lead role and invite partners to develop a cohesive plan
- dd. Motion that Shingle Creek invite all partners to discuss this housing opportunity, by Mr. Strand, Ms. G. Anderson, m-c

5. Updates:

- a. Mr. Johnson reports there is a damn in Shingle Creek and he has contacted MPRB regarding removing it before winter.
- b. Response from Mr. Hyde regarding about tenants at the new Minneapolis Business Complex and if there is a possibility to have RER look at developing another site inside the Humboldt Industrial Area
- c. NRP Policy Board elections extension: Nov 1st Protection or at Large, Revitalization category doesn't have anyone representing so if someone wants to run there's still time.
- d. Staff announces Heritage Day on Saturday. Still looking for volunteers.

6. Motion to adjourn at 8:00pm

Respectfully submitted
 Amy Luesebrink
 SCNA staff

_____ Date _____
 SCNA Chair